

snippets

Issue 29

June 2015

Contents

1. Heidi Harley and Jeff Punske. *Some PP modifiers of NP block relative readings in superlatives.*
2. Natalia Ivlieva and Yasutada Sudo. *Another problem for alternative-based theories of plurality inferences: the case of mass plurals.*
3. Hideki Kishimoto. *Ergativity of adjectives in Japanese.*
4. Todor Koev. *An 'antiproviso problem' for appositive relative clauses.*
5. Philippe Schlenker. *Gradient and iconic features in ASL.*
6. Luis Vicente. *Morphological case mismatches under sluicing.*



Edizioni Universitarie di Lettere Economia Diritto

6.

Luis Vicente – Universität Potsdam

Morphological case mismatches under sluicing

vicente@uni-potsdam.de

doi: 10.7358/snip-2015-029-vic

Nykiel and Sag (2011), van Craenenbroeck (2012), and Barros (2014) have argued that remnants of sluicing and their correlates may not bear different case morphology, even if a different case is possible in principle ((1)). Languages with case morphology may satisfy (1) under case syncretism (van Craenenbroeck 2012), and languages without case morphology satisfy it trivially.

- (1) Case matching under sluicing.

The remnant of sluicing and its correlate must have non-distinct case morphology.

To illustrate, (2a) only allows a case-matched accusative remnant; a mismatched nominative remnant is ungrammatical, even though an unelided cleft with a nominative wh- pivot is licit in this context ((2b)), and cleft-based sluices are otherwise licit in German (van Craenenbroeck 2012).

- (2) German

a. Sie hat jemand-en getroffen, aber ich weiß nicht { we-n / * we-r }.

she has someone-ACC met but I know not who-ACC / who-NOM

b. Sie hat jemand-en getroffen, aber ich weiß nicht we-r es ist.

she has someone-ACC met but I know not who-NOM it is

However, the examples in (3)-(9) show that case mismatches are in fact possible in a number of languages, contrary to what (1) predicts. It is likely that further research on lesser-studied languages will expand this list.

- (3) Japanese: (Merchant 1998:94)

Dareka-ga sono hon-o yon-da ga, watashi-wa dare-(*)ga ka wakaranai.
someone-NOM this book-ACC read-PST but I-TOP who-NOM Q know.not
'Someone read this book, but I don't know who'

- (4) Turkish (Merchant 2001:111fn, Ince 2012:262)

Ahmet biri-nin Ankara-ya git-tig-i-ni söyle,
Ahmet one-GEN Ankara-DAT go-COMP-POSS.3SG-ACC tell-PST.3SG
ama { kim / *kim-in } bil-my-yor-um.
but who-NOM / who-GEN know-NEG-PRS-1SG
'Ahmet said that someone went to Ankara, but I don't know who'

- (5) Korean (Jo 2004:176)

John-i Mary-eykey mwuenka-lul cwu-ess-ta-nuntey, mwues-(ul)-i-nci
John-NOM Mary-DAT something-ACC give-PST-DEC-CIRC what-ACC-COP-Q
molukeyssta.
not.know
'John gave Mary something, but I don't know what'

- (6) Chamorro (Chung 2013:25)
 Ilek-ñiha na man-ma'la'ñiao siha ni un tåotao, lao ti ma sångan (*ni) håyi.
 say-AGR COMP AGR-afraid they OBL a person but not AGR say OBL who
 'They said they were afraid of a certain person, but they didn't say who'
- (7) Uzbek (Gribanova 2013:830)
 Siz kim-ga-dir pul ber-di-ngiz, lekin kim-(ga)
 You some-DAT-one money give-PST-2SG but who-DAT
 lig-i-ni bil-ma-y-man
 COMP-3SG.POSS-ACC know-NEG-PRS-1SG
 'You gave money to someone, but I don't know who'
- (8) German (Barros et al 2014:16).
 Elke hat ein-en groß-en Mann geheiratet, aber ich weiß nicht wie groß-(*)en).
 Elke has a-ACC big-ACC man married but I know not how big-ACC
- (9) Mongolian (Sakamoto 2014:3)
 Bat hennegen-d ene nom-ig ug-sun, gevch bi
 Bat.NOM someone-DAT this book-ACC give-PERF but I
 { hen-ig / *hen-d } 'n med-eh-gui.
 who-ACC / who-DAT POSS know-INF-NEG

Although I haven't been able to figure out which factor(s) license case mismatches in some configurations (and require strict case matching in others), the small sample in (2)-(9) suffices to eliminate some possibilities, viz., at least (i) whether the language is wh- fronting or wh- in situ; (ii) whether mismatched remnants carry an overt case morpheme or are zero-marked; and (iii) a [\pm case matching] parameter/filter ranging over languages.

References

- Barros, M. (2014) *Pseudosluicing and Identity in Ellipsis*. PhD thesis, Rutgers University.
- Barros, M., Elliott, P. and G. Thoms. (2014) "There is no island repair." Ms., Rutgers University, University of Edinburgh, and University College London.
- Chung, S. (2013) "Syntactic identity in sluicing: how much and why." *Linguistic Inquiry* 44, 1-44.
- van Craenenbroeck, J. (2012) "Ellipsis, identity, and accommodation." Ms., KU Brussel and HU Brussel.
- Gribanova, V. (2013) "Copular clauses, clefts, and putative sluicing in Uzbek." *Language* 89, 830-882.
- Ince, A. (2012) "Sluicing in Turkish," in *Sluicing: Crosslinguistic Perspectives*, ed. J. Merchant and A. Simpson. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 248-269.
- Jo, J.-M. (2004) *Grammatical Effects of Topic and Focus Information*. PhD thesis, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign.
- Merchant, J. (1998) "Pseudosluicing: elliptical clefts in English and Japanese," in *ZAS Papers in Linguistics 10*, ed. A. Alexiadou et al. Berlin: ZAS, 88-112.
- Merchant, J. (2001) *The Syntax of Silence*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Nykiel, J., and I. Sag. (2011) "Remarks on sluicing," in *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on HPSG*, ed. S. Müller. Stanford: CSLI, 188--208..
- Sakamoto, Y. (2014) "Absence of case-matching effects in Mongolian Sluicing." Ms., University of Connecticut, Storrs.