

# snippets

Issue 32 - January 2018

## Contents

1. Wm. G. Bennett. *Subject-Auxiliary inversion in interrogative complex NPs.*
2. Ken Hiraiwa. *Anatomy of what and NUMBER in Japanese.*
3. Erik Zyman. *Gestures and nonlinguistic objects are subject to the Case Filter.*
4. Erik Zyman. *Interjections select and project.*



# Interjections select and project

Erik Zyman · University of California, Santa Cruz

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.7358/snip-2017-032-zymb>

Although interjections are often considered syntactically uninteresting (Sapir 1921:5, Baker 2003: 24), this consensus may be changing. Corver (2015) shows that Dutch interjections can enter into larger structures, including phrase-structurally regular “clusters”. This suggests that interjections may be syntactically regular generally—governed largely or entirely by the same syntactic principles as everything else. This squib pushes that view further, arguing that interjections take complements and project, like Ns/Vs/As/Ps.

The claim that interjections can take complements is also made by Rodríguez Ramalle (2007). However, she focuses on the semantics and pragmatics of the relevant structures, and does not provide syntactic evidence that the relevant post-interjection XPs are actually complements. This squib will do just that.

In some English idiolects, an Interj(ection) can colloquially be followed by a *with*-PP or *that*-CP (attested examples below; URLs at end):

- (1) a. ... **wow** [**with** the level of idiocy the Angel baserunners have shown] ...  
b. ... **wow** [**that** they already have copies] ...
- (2) a. A: ... really should be ‘Tristan’ ... I advise you to edit that.  
B: ... yea **whoops** [**with** that] ...  
b. **whoops** [**that** I don’t have anything fun to say].
- (3) a. **oops** [**with** my Republic/Purge mix-up].  
b. **oops** [**that** I only just found your friend request... ]!
- (4) a. So ... **whoop-de-do** [**with** this rule]?  
b. ... **whoop-de-doo** [**that** you got tickets]...
- (5) a. **Damn** [**with** your fucking fly ass]...  
b. Also, **damn** [**that** I missed it].
- (6) a. ... **yuck** [**with** the Amber Rose pictures]...  
b. Cool that you have deer, **yuck** [**that** they poop].

Are these *with*-PPs/*that*-CPs complements or adjuncts? The former hypothesis makes several correct predictions.

First, their category should be selected. It is; other categories are out:

- (7) \*Wow/\*Whoops {[<sub>NP</sub> club president]/  
[<sub>AP</sub> fond of Debbie]/  
[<sub>AdvP</sub> carelessly]/  
[<sub>VP/VP</sub> {eat/eats/ate/eating/eaten pie}]}!

Secondly, their heads should be selected. They are:

- (8) Wow { **with**/\*for/\*from/\*in/\*by/\*of } her opinions!
- (9) Wow { **that** she left/\*for her to leave/\***whether** she left }!

Mexican Spanish interjections can also select the head of a following PP:

- (10) Ay [**de**/\*en/\*por/\*para/\*sobre ti]!  
oh [of/\*in/\*for/\*for/\*on.top.of you]  
'Woe unto you!'
- (11) Caramba [contigo/\*de/\*en/\*por/\*para/\*sobre ti]!  
goddammit [with.you/\*of/\*in/\*for/\*for/\*on.top.of you]  
'Goddammit with you!'

Third, the *with*-PPs/*that*-CPs should, unlike adjuncts, be un-iterable. They are:

- (12) a. \*Wow [**with** her political views] [**with** her opinions about Obama]!  
b. \*Wow [**that** she left] [**that** she stormed out]!
- (13) a. \*Wow [**with** her departure] [**that** she left]!  
b. \*Wow [**that** she left] [**with** her departure]!

Interj, then, selects a *with*-PP/*that*-CP as its complement. We therefore expect it, not the *with*-PP/*that*-CP, to project. If [Interj PP<sub>with</sub>/CP<sub>that</sub>] is an InterjP (not a larger PP<sub>with</sub>/CP<sub>that</sub>), it should pattern distributionally like an intransitive interjection. This is correct:

- (14) a. (If she did THAT, then) wow (**with** her bravery/**that** she's so brave)!  
b. Whoops (**with** my slip-up/**that** I did that)!

By contrast, [Interj PP<sub>with</sub>/CP<sub>that</sub>] should not pattern distributionally like a *with*-PP/*that*-CP. This is correct:

- (15) a. I {spoke/ate} (\*wow) [**with** that astrophysicist].  
b. He took issue (\*whoops) [**with** my carelessness].
- (16) a. He said (\*wow) [**that** he was leaving].  
b. I concluded (\*whoops) [**that** he'd tripped].

Examples (15-16) also show that, in [Interj PP<sub>with</sub>/CP<sub>that</sub>], Interj is not left-adjoined to PP<sub>with</sub>/CP<sub>that</sub>.

Interjections, then, select complements and project InterjPs, further supporting the hypothesis that they are syntactically regular (Corver 2015).

### Sources of attested examples

<http://monkeywithahalo.com/2012-articles/game-preview-4812-easter-egg-hunt-royals-angels.html>

<http://www.sarahjio.com/2011/07/05/advanced-copies-of-the-bungalow-are-here/>

<http://www.webook.com/submission.aspx?p=5ea4869522fc4eb1aed15c64483f64e&st=0fc8c898eba34ceaa98c7de60ef044ae>

[http://ssbar.blogspot.com/2005\\_06\\_01\\_archive.html](http://ssbar.blogspot.com/2005_06_01_archive.html)

<http://forum.rebelscum.com/showthread.php?t=970981&page=3&s=52efd51535b964ee98cfa2927d8686a>

[http://be8.com/profiles/comment/list?attachedToType=User&attachedTo=395m2xcf4zze5&commentId=4617490%3AComment%3A85212&xg\\_source=activity](http://be8.com/profiles/comment/list?attachedToType=User&attachedTo=395m2xcf4zze5&commentId=4617490%3AComment%3A85212&xg_source=activity) [*example now gone*]  
<http://duckinunfriendlywaters.blogspot.com/2011/09/halftime-heads-up.html>  
<https://forum.wearejames.com/viewtopic.php?p=117704&sid=a3cdda7efb921885ec125f2b42a6a05a>  
<http://barbarahj.tumblr.com/post/3510995146/jasmynewilliams-damn-with-your-fucking-fly-ass>  
<http://phorums.com.au/archive/index.php/t-49663.html> [*example now gone*]  
<https://worldlyweighs.wordpress.com/2012/08/24/weenies-gone-wild/>  
<http://disc.yourwebapps.com/discussion.cgi?disc=228072;article=108853;title=Moss%20Creek%20Goldendoodles%20Forum> [*example now gone*]

## References

- Baker, Mark C. 2003. *Lexical Categories: Verbs, Nouns, and Adjectives*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Corver, Norbert. 2015. Interjections as structured root expressions. In van Oostendorp, Marc, and Henk van Riemsdijk (eds.), *Representing Structure in Phonology and Syntax*, 41-84. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Rodríguez Ramalle, Teresa María. 2007. Las interjecciones llevan complementos, ¡vaya que sí! Análisis de las interjecciones con complemento en el discurso. *Español Actual* 87: 111-125.
- Sapir, Edward. 1921. *Language: An Introduction to the Study of Speech*. New York: Harcourt Brace.

Many thanks to Nancy Carrasco and Samuel Zyman for the Spanish judgements. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program under Grant No. DGE-1339067. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

Erik Zyman  
[ozyman@ucsc.edu](mailto:ozyman@ucsc.edu)  
Department of Linguistics  
University of California, Santa Cruz  
1156 High Street  
Santa Cruz, CA 95064  
USA