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Evaluative speaker-oriented adverbs (unfortunately, fortunately, luckily) are ungrammatical in contexts such as the scope of negation ((1b)) and conditional antecedents ((1c)). It is an ongoing debate whether such constraints are syntactic (cf. Haegeman 2010) or semantic (cf. Ernst 2007, 2009) in nature.

(1) a. They **fortunately** have not withdrawn their funds.
   b. * They have not **fortunately** withdrawn their funds.
   c. * If they **luckily** arrived on time, we will be saved.
      (Ernst 2007:1027)

Ernst (2007, 2009) observes that negated conditional antecedents, (2), allow for a subset of speaker-oriented adverbs, such as mysteriously in (2a). He explains this observation by analyzing evaluatives as Positive Polarity Items (PPIs). These can be ‘indirectly licensed’ if they modify a proposition that is implied to be true (here: ‘they decided to resign’). However, Ernst introduces a distinction between ‘weak evaluatives’ (such as mysteriously) and ‘strong evaluatives’, such as fortunately, unfortunately and luckily. He claims that the latter cannot occur in negated conditional antecedents, illustrated in (2b) (his judgment). He then develops a system that derives this distinction.

(2) a. If they hadn’t **mysteriously** decided to resign, things would have been fine.
   b. * If they hadn't **fortunately** decided to resign, things would have been fine.
      (Ernst 2007:1029)

Crucially, Ernst’s empirical generalizations do not seem correct. ‘Strong evaluative’ adverbs can occur in negated conditionals quite freely, (3)-(4) being two representative examples.

(3) I would have lost data if I hadn't **fortunately** kept a copy of the data on my MacBook.
   (‘Automated backups to servers only when I'm in the office’, blog post, 4/1/2010, on Geekery)

(4) That being said, if Ledger hadn't **unfortunately** passed away prior to the film's release, no one would be talking about an Oscar nomination.
   (‘The Dark Knight: DVD Talk Review of the Theatrical’, blog post, 7/9/2008, on DVD Talk)

On the one hand, such data support and strengthen Ernst’s semantic analysis of evaluative adverbs as PPIs that can be indirectly licensed. On the other hand, they clearly undermine the proposed distinction between ‘weak’ and ‘strong’ evaluatives.

What we observe from the constructed examples in (5) is that the distribution of ‘strong evaluatives’ in negated conditionals is mainly constrained by pragmatic inferences: Negated antecedents that contain a positive adverb (fortunately/luckily)
correlate with negatively evaluated consequents (things would have been worse in (5a)). Contrastively, negated conditional antecedents that contain a negative adverb (unfortunately/sadly) correlate with positively evaluated consequents (things would have been fine in (5b)). This is due to conflicting entailments in the unacceptable cases. For instance, in (5a), unfortunately would trigger the entailment that it was unfortunate that they decided to resign. This conflicts with the entailment that things would have been worse if they had not decided to resign. The resulting implicature would be that it is unfortunate that things are not worse, which is clearly deviant. Notably, such a conflict does not always arise, e.g. it does not arise in (4); this follows from the pragmatic / extra-linguistic nature of the perceived conflict.

(5) a. If they hadn't {fortunately/#unfortunately} decided to resign, things would have been worse.
   ⇒ Implicature: It is fortunate/unfortunate that things are not worse.

b. If they hadn't {unfortunately/#fortunately} decided to resign, things would have been fine.
   ⇒ Implicature: It is unfortunate/fortunate that things are not fine.
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Appendix: Additional data from corpora

unfortunately
His own good sense might have checked him, if Aunt Kipp hadn't unfortunately recovered her voice at this crisis […]
(Louisa M. Alcott. 1868. Kitty's Class Day And Other Stories.)
I would have rated this 3 stars if I had not unfortunately ordered the calamari […]
(online review on Yelp, September 12, 2010)

fortunately
I did so, and was glad of the chance, for I was tired, and was, moreover, near the first crossing of Roanoke, which I would have been compelled to wade, cold as the water was, if I had not fortunately met this good man.
(David Crockett. 1834. Narrative of the Life of David Crockett of the State of Tennessee.)
This event could have had a very bad ending if we had not fortunately been in the right place at the right time.
('Portsmouth Association of Yacht Security (PAYS)', blog post, February 20, 2012, on Easy Go Adventures)

luckily
It's my belief you just slipped in when poor old Mrs. Winter was out of the way for a minute, and if I hadn't luckily caught you in the very act you would have been off with your pockets crammed—
(S.E. Cartwright. 1899. The Eagle's Nest.)
if my sister had not luckily brought some concealer and foundation, I would have had raccoon eyes for the ceremony
(online review on Yelp, June 10, 2012)

Parallel corpus data can be found for the German counterparts “leider” (sadly), “glücklicherweise” (luckily/fortunately) and “unglücklicherweise” (unfortunately).