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The phenomenon known as Stripping has received a fair amount of attention in the syntactic literature of late. Stripping apparently deletes non-contrastive elements from a conjoined clause construction, leaving a single contrasting remnant. The following exemplify this (parentheses enclosed “deleted” material):

(1) Dana will read *King Lear* tomorrow, and Kim (will read *KL* tomorrow) too
(2) Gaby gave the president a gift, but (Gaby) not (gave) the vice-president (a gift)
(3) I should buy a pencil soon, and (I should buy) a pen (soon)

Merchant (2003, 2004) presents what has become a standard analysis for Stripping. In his view, Stripping involves a conjunction of clauses. Within the second clause, the contrasting element raises to a Focus position external to its TP. The TP, which then contains only non-contrastive elements, then deletes. Under this analysis, (3) above would have the following derivation:

(4) \[ [\text{CP}] [\text{TP}] \text{I should buy a pen soon} \] and \[ [\text{CP}] [\text{FocP}] [\text{DP}] \text{I should buy} \text{t} \text{soon} \]\]

However, this analysis appears to face a problem when it comes to elements containing insubordinators (as discussed, e.g., in de Vries (2009)) such as *as well as*, *in addition to*, *except (for)*, and *instead of*. These insubordinators can apparently conjoin any subclausal phrase, as the following show:

(5) She is [extremely bright *as well as* very athletic] conjoined APs
(6) She enjoys [mystery movies *in addition to* courtroom dramas] conjoined DPs
(7) She looked for the keys [everywhere *except (for)* in the bowl] conjoined PPs
(8) She was [writing poems *instead of* singing songs] conjoined VPs

Interestingly, however, these insubordinators may not conjoin full clauses:

(9) *Gaby gave the president a gift, *as well as* she gave the vice-president a gift
(10) *I should buy a pencil soon, *in addition to* I should buy a pen soon
(11) *Everyone will attend the party, *except for* Sam will attend the party
(12) *Dana will read *King Lear* tomorrow *instead of* Kim will read *King Lear* tomorrow

Crucially, we do find natural Stripping-type sentences involving these insubordinators:

(13) Gaby gave the president a gift, as well as the vice-president
(14) I should buy a pencil soon, in addition to a pen
(15) Everyone will attend the party, except for Sam
(16) Dana will read *King Lear* tomorrow, instead of Kim
The analysis of Stripping as deletion from conjoined clauses, then, appears problematic; the insubordinators cannot conjoin clauses but do license Stripping. Either the analysis of Stipping as involving deletion from conjoined TPs errs, or the Stripping-type examples of (13)-(16) differ from standard Stripping as in (1)-(3) and require a separate analysis. Either way, we find ourselves faced with a puzzle.
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