

snippets

Issue 37 - December 2019
Special issue in honor of Uli Sauerland

Contents

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 1. | Andreea C. Nicolae, Patrick D. Elliott, and Yasutada Sudo <i>Introduction</i> | 1 |
| 2. | Dorothy Ahn <i>ASL IX to locus as a modifier</i> | 2 |
| 3. | Artemis Alexiadou <i>Decomposing scalar approximatives in Greek</i> | 4 |
| 4. | Anna Alsop, Lucas Champollion, and Ioana Grosu <i>A problem for Fox's (2007) account of free choice disjunction</i> | 7 |
| 5. | Anton Benz and Nicole Gotzner <i>Quantifier irgendein and local implicature</i> | 10 |
| 6. | Jonathan David Bobaljik and Susi Wurmbrand <i>Fake indexicals, binding, and the PCC</i> | 13 |
| 7. | Brian Buccola and Emmanuel Chemla <i>Alternatives of disjunctions: when a disjunct contains the antecedent of a pronoun</i> | 16 |
| 8. | Luka Crnič and Brian Buccola <i>Scoping NPIs out of DPs</i> | 19 |
| 9. | Chris Cummins <i>Some contexts requiring precise number meanings</i> | 22 |
| 10. | Patrick D. Elliott and Paul Marty <i>Exactly one theory of multiplicity inferences</i> | 24 |

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 11. | Anamaria Fălăuș and Andreea C. Nicolae | |
| | <i>Two coordinating particles are better than one: free choice items in Romanian</i> | 27 |
| 12. | Danny Fox | |
| | <i>Individual concepts and narrow scope illusions</i> | 30 |
| 13. | Danny Fox | |
| | <i>Degree concepts and narrow scope illusions</i> | 33 |
| 14. | Nicole Gotzner | |
| | <i>Disjunction, conjunction, and exhaustivity</i> | 35 |
| 15. | Martin Hackl | |
| | <i>On Haddock's puzzle and the role of presupposition in reference resolution</i> | 37 |
| 16. | Andreas Haida | |
| | <i>Symmetry, density, and formal alternatives</i> | 40 |
| 17. | Nina Haslinger and Viola Schmitt | |
| | <i>Strengthened disjunction or non-classical conjunction?</i> | 43 |
| 18. | Fabian Heck and Anke Himmelreich | |
| | <i>Two observations about reconstruction</i> | 46 |
| 19. | Aron Hirsch | |
| | <i>Modal adverbs and constraints on type-flexibility</i> | 49 |
| 20. | Natalia Ivlieva and Alexander Podobryaev | |
| | <i>On variable agreement and scope reconstruction in Russian</i> | 52 |
| 21. | Hadil Karawani | |
| | <i>The past is rewritten</i> | 54 |
| 22. | Manfred Krifka and Fereshteh Modarresi | |
| | <i>Persian ezafe and proportional quantifiers</i> | 56 |
| 23. | Paul Marty | |
| | <i>Maximize Presupposition! and presupposition satisfaction</i> | 59 |
| 24. | Lisa Matthewson, Sihwei Chen, Marianne Huijsmans, Marcin Morzycki, Daniel Reisinger, and Hotze Rullmann | |
| | <i>Restricting the English past tense</i> | 61 |
| 25. | Clemens Mayr | |
| | <i>On a seemingly nonexistent cumulative reading</i> | 65 |
| 26. | Marie-Christine Meyer | |
| | <i>Scalar Implicatures in complex contexts</i> | 67 |
| 27. | Moreno Mitrović | |
| | <i>Null disjunction in disguise</i> | 70 |
| 28. | Andreea C. Nicolae and Yasutada Sudo | |
| | <i>The exhaustive relevance of complex conjunctions</i> | 72 |
| 29. | Rick Nouwen | |
| | <i>Scalar vagueness regulation and locative reference</i> | 75 |

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 30. | Robert Pasternak | |
| | <i>Unifying partitive and adjective-modifying percent</i> | 77 |
| 31. | Hazel Pearson and Frank Sode | |
| | <i>'Not in my wildest dreams': a part time minimizer?</i> | 80 |
| 32. | Orin Percus | |
| | <i>Uli and our generation: some reminiscences</i> | 82 |
| 33. | Jacopo Romoli | |
| | <i>Why them?</i> | 84 |
| 34. | Fabienne Salfner | |
| | <i>The rise and fall of non-conservatives</i> | 87 |
| 35. | Petra B. Schumacher | |
| | <i>Vagueness and context-sensitivity of absolute gradable adjectives</i> | 90 |
| 36. | Stephanie Solt | |
| | <i>More or less an approximator</i> | 93 |
| 37. | Giorgos Spathas | |
| | <i>Plural anaphoric reference and non-conservativity</i> | 95 |
| 38. | Benjamin Spector | |
| | <i>An argument for the trivalent approach to presupposition projection</i> | 97 |
| 39. | Bob van Tiel | |
| | <i>'The case against fuzzy logic revisited' revisited</i> | 100 |
| 40. | Lyn Tieu | |
| | <i>A developmental asymmetry between the singular and plural</i> | 103 |
| 41. | Tue Trinh | |
| | <i>A tense question</i> | 106 |
| 42. | Hubert Truckenbrodt | |
| | <i>On remind-me presuppositions and embedded question acts</i> | 108 |
| 43. | Michael Wagner | |
| | <i>Disjuncts must be mutually excludable</i> | 111 |
| 44. | E. Cameron Wilson | |
| | <i>Constraints on non-conservative readings in English</i> | 114 |
| 45. | Susi Wurmbrand | |
| | <i>Indexical shift meets ECM</i> | 117 |

Fake indexicals, binding, and the PCC

Jonathan David Bobaljik · Harvard University

Susi Wurmbrand · Universität Wien

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.7358/snip-2019-037-bowu>

The Person Case Constraint (see Anagnostopoulou 2017) regulates combinations of clitics (or agreement) in many languages. While there is variation, the core case excludes an indexical (first or second person) accusative clitic in combination with a third person dative clitic, as in Italian (1a). A first person dative with a third person accusative is fine (1b).

- (1) a. * Mi gli presenteranno.
1SG.ACC 3SG.DAT introduce.FUT.3PL.
'They will introduce me to him.'
- b. Me lo presenteranno.
1SG.DAT 3SG.ACC introduce.FUT.3PL.
'They will introduce him to me.'

Morphological first and second person elements are not always interpreted as indexicals, as in *Only I did my homework*, where under the “fake indexical” reading, the first person pronoun *my* is interpreted as a bound variable: I am the only x , such that x did x 's homework (i.e., no one else did their homework) (Partee 1989; Heim 2008). To the limited extent we have investigated this, morphological first person accusatives are excluded in PCC contexts even where they are interpreted as bound variables and not as true indexicals, as in Italian (2). (There is some variation in the strength of the effect, but speakers agree that (1a) and (2) pattern together as a function of the person and case of the clitics. One speaker finds amelioration of both (1a) and (2) in some contexts.)

- (2) * [(Solo io) mi gli sono presentato.]
(only 1SG.NOM) 1SG.ACC 3SG.DAT AUX introduced
'(Only) I introduced myself (lit: me) to him.'

Preliminary inquiries suggest the same holds in Greek, as in (3):

- (3) * Monon ego nomizo oti tu me edikses.
Only I think.1SG that 3SG.DAT 1SG.ACC showed.2SG
Intended: 'Only I think that you showed me to him.'
(i.e., no one else thinks that you showed them to him)

The theoretical landscape of binding, the PCC, and fake indexicals is too varied to consider all combinatorial options here and we can only note some (im)possibilities. If cases like (2) and (3) are to be excluded by the PCC (see below for a different direction), fake indexical clitics must bear person features in the representation where the PCC is evaluated. Semantic approaches which manipulate, ignore or reinterpret the syntactically represented person features in some way

(e.g., von Stechow 2003; see Sudo 2012, Chapter 9 for an overview) are generally consistent with these facts. For example, under Sauerland’s (2013) approach, fake indexicals bear person features in the syntax, but their person features (treated as presuppositions) are not carried over to the focus representation (“tier”) and thus are interpreted as variables for alternatives. On the other hand, approaches where fake indexicals are featureless bound variables in syntax that acquire their person values through agreement or feature transfer (such as Kratzer 2009) must ensure that the PCC applies after such agreement (whether in the syntax or morphology).

Alternatively, (2) could be excluded by the Clitic Binding Restriction (CBR) (Bhatt and Šimík 2009), which prohibits a bound DO in a cluster with an IO regardless of the DO’s person features. This generalization appears to hold in Italian, excluding clusters with reflexive clitics (of the form ‘he_i introduced self_i to.her’) as well as sentences with two third person clitics when the accusative is bound by a higher subject. The CBR would exclude (2), even if the PCC does not. Greek however might not be subject to the CBR, as suggested by the acceptability of (4). If we are interpreting this data point correctly, then the kind of data considered here could restrict choices among combinations of approaches to the PCC and the syntactic representation of reflexives and fake indexicals.

- (4) I Maria_i nomizi oti tu tin_{i/j} ediksa.
 the Maria think.3SG that 3DAT.MASC 3SG.ACC.FEM showed.1SG
 Possible reading: ‘Maria_i thinks that I showed her_i to him.’

References

- Anagnostopoulou, Elena. 2017. The person case constraint. In *The Wiley Blackwell Companion to Syntax*, ed. Martin Everaert and Henk van Riemsdijk, 1–47. New York City: Wiley Blackwell.
- Bhatt, Rajesh, and Radek Šimík. 2009. Variable binding and the person-case constraint. Handout from 25th Annual Meeting of the Israel Association for Theoretical Linguistics (IATL 25).
- Heim, Irene. 2008. Features on bound pronouns. In *Phi-theory: Phi features across modules and interfaces*, ed. Daniel Harbour, David Adger, and Susana Béjar, 35–56. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kratzer, Angelika. 2009. Making a pronoun: Fake indexicals as windows into the properties of pronouns. *Linguistic Inquiry* 40:187–237.
- Partee, Barbara. 1989. Binding implicit variables in quantified contexts. In *Papers from the 25th regional meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society (CLS25)*, ed. Caroline Wiltshire, Randolph Graczyk, and Bradley Music, 342–365. Chicago: Chicago Linguistic Society.
- Sauerland, Uli. 2013. Presuppositions and the alternative tier. In *Proceedings of Semantics and Linguistic Theory 23 (SALT23)*, ed. Todd Snider, 156–173.
- Sudo, Yasutada. 2012. On the Semantics of Phi-features on Pronouns. Doctoral Dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- von Stechow, Arnim. 2003. Feature deletion under semantic binding: tense, person, and mood under verbal quantifiers. Ms. Universität Tübingen.

We are grateful to Christos Christopoulos for the Greek data and Andrea Calabrese, Pietro Cerrone, Enrico Flor, Roberto Petrosino, and Stanislao Zoppi for Italian.

Jonathan David Bobaljik
bobaljik@fas.harvard.edu
Harvard University Linguistics
Boylston Hall 3rd Floor
Cambridge MA 02140
USA

Susanne Wurmbrand
susanne.wurmbrand@univie.ac.at
Universität Wien
Sensengasse 3a
1090 Wien
Austria