# snippets

Issue 43 - October 2022

#### Contents

- 1. Jeremy Kuhn, David Nicolas, and Brian Buccola. *Deriving dimensions of comparison*.
- 2. Andrew Murphy. *Parasitic gaps diagnose A-movement in quotative and locative inversion.*
- 3. Qiuhao Charles Yan. *The structure of* SAY *verbs and temporal modifica-tion*.



### **Deriving dimensions of comparison**

Jeremy Kuhn · Institut Jean Nicod, ENS, EHESS, CNRS, PSL University David Nicolas · Institut Jean Nicod, ENS, EHESS, CNRS, PSL University Brian Buccola · Michigan State University

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.7358/snip-2022-043-knbu

In English, using the comparative *more* with a mass noun (*more coffee*) allows comparison along various dimensions, including volume and weight, while using *more* with a plural (*more cats*) typically only allows comparison by cardinality.

Wellwood (2019) proposes capturing these facts via a constraint on the measure function  $\mu$  expressed by the comparative when it is combined with a nominal expression whose denotation *P* has a parthood relation  $\leq$ . Wellwood's constraint is that  $\mu$  must satisfy "automorphism invariance":

(1) Automorphism invariance

 $\forall h \in Aut(\langle P, \leq \rangle) \ \forall x \in P \ [\mu(x) = \mu(h(x))]$ 'Any automorphism on  $\langle P, \leq \rangle$  leaves the value of the measure constant.'

(2) *h* is an *automorphism* on  $\langle P, \leq \rangle$ ,  $h \in Aut(\langle P, \leq \rangle)$ , iff *h* is a bijective function from *P* onto itself which respects parthood:  $\forall x, y \in P \ [x \leq y \text{ iff } h(x) \leq h(y)]$ .

For plurals, Wellwood shows that any automorphism respecting parthood must map atomic individuals to atomic individuals. Since two individuals may have different weights or volumes, weight and volume are not automorphism invariant, thus capturing the restriction of  $\mu$  to cardinality for plurals.

However, the constraint in (1) is too strong: by that criterion, volume and weight would not be admissible measure functions for mass nouns either! Identify the denotation of *coffee*, reductively, with the closed interval between zero and six — [0,6] — with mereological parthood understood as set inclusion, and  $\mu$  as interval length. Define *f* as follows (cf. Figure 1):

(3) 
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x+1 & \text{for } 1 \le x \le 2\\ (x-1)/2 & \text{for } 3 \le x \le 5\\ x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let the function h apply f to each member of a set:

(4) 
$$h(S) = \{f(x) \mid x \in S\}$$

The function *h* is an automorphism respecting the subset (i.e. parthood) relation. However, it does not preserve measure: h([1,2]) = [3,5], but  $\mu([1,2]) = 1$ , while  $\mu([3,5]) = 2$ .

An analogous function can be constructed for area or volume, as illustrated in Figure 2, where corresponding points in squares A and B are mapped to each other, and everything else is mapped




Figure 1: One-dimensional counterexample to automorphism invariance




Figure 2: Two-dimensional counterexample to automorphism invariance

to itself. If we consider a substance of uniform density, the same mapping shows that mass and weight are also not admissible measure functions.

Is there an alternative? In related work (Schwarzschild 2006), both pseudo-partitives and quantity comparisons have been shown to disallow non-monotonic measure functions like temperature (10 liters of water vs. \*10 degrees of water; more coffee  $\neq$  hotter coffee). For pseudo-partitives, Champollion (2017:92) has argued that this constraint is best captured by "stratified reference". We propose that a modification of stratified reference can additionally capture the constraint on plural quantity comparisons: the constraint in (5) requires that the *P*-parts of *x* have *the same small* measure.

#### (5) Fixed-scale stratified reference

 $\forall x \left[ P(x) \to x \in {}^*\lambda y [P(y) \land \mu(y) = \varepsilon_x] \right]$ 

'Every x satisfying P can be divided into parts that satisfy P and have the same small measure.'

For plurals, it may not always be possible to divide an entity into parts (in *P*) with the same small volume or weight (e.g. cats have different sizes and weights); hence, neither volume nor weight are admissible. On the other hand, cardinality satisfies fixed-scale stratified reference, since any plurality of cats can be divided into individual cats, whose cardinality, 1, is small. By the same reasoning, one expects that with 'object' mass nouns such as *furniture*, comparison involves cardinality, a generalization with experimental support (Barner and Snedeker 2005, but see also Rothstein 2017). In contrast, any instance of a mass noun like *coffee* can be divided into small parts by volume or weight, while an assignment of cardinality would seem meaningless.

Finally, for Wellwood, automorphism invariance must be supplemented with an additional constraint on monotonicity (Schwarzschild 2006). Here, a single constraint plays both roles. We leave for future work a full comparison of the constraints on pseudo-partitives and quantity comparisons, and whether/why they may differ.

## References

Barner, David, and Jesse Snedeker. 2005. Quantity judgments and individuation: Evidence that mass nouns count. *Cognition* 97:41–66.

Champollion, Lucas. 2017. Parts of a Whole: Distributivity as a bridge between aspect and measurement. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Rothstein, Susan. 2017. Semantics for Counting and Measuring. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Schwarzschild, Roger. 2006. The role of dimensions in the syntax of noun phrases. *Syntax* 9:67–110.

Wellwood, Alexis. 2019. The Meaning of More. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Jeremy Kuhn jeremy.d.kuhn@gmail.com Institut Jean Nicod Pavillon Jardin Ecole Normale Supérieure 29 rue d'Ulm 75005 Paris France

David Nicolas <u>david.nicolas@ens.psl.eu</u> Institut Jean Nicod Pavillon Jardin Ecole Normale Supérieure 29 rue d'Ulm 75005 Paris France

Brian Buccola <u>buccola@msu.edu</u> Michigan State University Department of Linguistics, Languages, and Cultures B-404 Wells Hall, 619 Red Cedar Road East Lansing, MI 48824-1027 USA

This work was supported by ANR-17-EURE-0017 (FrontCog) and ERC H2020 788077 (ORISEM).